

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,)	CR-10-00757-1-PHX-ROS
Plaintiff,)	ORDER
vs.)	
James R. Parker,)	
Defendant.)	

On May 11, 2012, the parties file proposed jury instructions. (Doc. 128). The proposed jury instructions set forth standard instructions (Part I), stipulated special instructions (Part II), and disputed instructions (Part III). (Doc. 128). As for the disputed instructions, the parties set forth the proposed instruction and objection, but did not provide a response to the objection or a reason for requesting the proposed instruction.

At the May 16, 2012, final pretrial conference, the Court ordered the parties to file revised instructions by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, May 18, 2012. The Court informed counsel the instruction, objection and response should all be in the same document. However, the subsequent minute entry stated a due date of May 28, 2012. (Doc. 134). This date was in error.


The parties will be given additional time to file the instructions on an expedited basis, with the instruction, objections and responses in the same document. The new filing should also include Parts I and II containing the standard and stipulated instructions. In other words,

1 *all* instructions shall be in one document for the Court's review. The parties shall meet and
2 confer to attempt to eliminate the number of instructions in dispute. The parties will need
3 to show good cause and/or exceptional circumstances for giving non-model instructions.

4 Accordingly,

5 **IT IS ORDERED** no later than **May 22, 2012**, the parties shall re-file proposed jury
6 instructions. The parties shall meet and confer to attempt to eliminate the number of
7 instructions in dispute.

8 DATED this 21st day of May, 2012.

9
10
11 
12 Roslyn O. Silver
13 Chief United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28